

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CEA No. 66 of 2011 (O&M)
Decided on : 27.01.2014

Commissioner of Central Excise Commissionerate, Ludhiana
... Appellant

Versus

M/s Ambika Forgings, Jalandhar
... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

Mr. Sudhir Malhotra, Advocate
for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent relies upon the findings of the Tribunal recorded in para 4 of the order dated 08.09.2010 (Annexure A-6). Further, learned counsel has also relied upon **Commissioner of Central Excise, Ludhiana Vs. Ambika Overseas, 2012(25) S.T.R. 348 (P&H)**, and submits that the case stands covered by the aforesaid decision.

2. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Even on the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the appellant.
3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

January 27, 2014

J.Ram