



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CRM-M-18274-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.09.2025

RAJESH DHINGRA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Radhika Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG Punjab.
Mr. Mukul Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.0067 dated 24.09.2021 under Sections 406 and 498A IPC 1860, Police Station Women, Patiala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 20.12.2021.

2. On 11.08.2025, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 20.12.2021.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala on 02.09.2025. Statement of Investigating Officer Head Constable Rahul Kumar was also recorded on 02.09.2025. Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala has submitted her report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or due influence. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

“1. That in the above said FIR, there is only one accused namely Rajesh Dhingra and he appeared before the Court and make statement with regard to the compromise effected between the parties.

2. No accused has been declared proclaimed offender in this case.

3. The name of the complainant is Ritika Sukheja.



4. *There is only one complainant/aggrieved and she has made statement before the Court through video conferencing.*
5. *In the present case, challan has yet not presented.*
6. *The compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.*
7. *No other criminal proceedings are pending against the accused person.”*

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another”*** 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others”*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.0067 dated 24.09.2021 under Sections 406 and 498A IPC 1860, Police Station Women, Patiala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise dated 20.12.2021.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

30.09.2025

harpreet

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No