



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

219 1. COCP-5410-2024 (O&M)

Jasbir Kaur and others Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and others Respondents

2. COCP-164-2025 (O&M)

Kulwant Kaur and others Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and another Respondents

3. COCP-264-2025 (O&M)

Gurcharan Kaur and another Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and others Respondents

4. COCP-184-2025 (O&M)

Shavinder Pal Kaur and others Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and others Respondents

5. COCP-255-2025 (O&M)

Harbhajan Kaur and another Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and others Respondents

6. COCP-354-2025 (O&M)

Ripudaman Kaur Petitioner

Versus

Gurdev Singh Sidhu and others Respondents



7. COCP-368-2025 (O&M)

Ajit Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

Gurdev Singh Sidhu

.... Respondents

8. COCP-370-2025 (O&M)

Surinder Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

Gurdev Singh Sidhu

.... Respondents

9. COCP-364-2025 (O&M)

Smt. Sita Kumari and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar, IAS and Anr.

.... Respondents

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. KS Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in COCP Nos. 5410-2024 and 164, 184, 255 & 264-2025).

Mr. RK Arya, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in COCP Nos. 354, 368 and 370-2025).

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in COCP-364-2025).

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG, Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, 09 aforementioned contempt petitions are being disposed of as, the facts involved therein are identical. For brevity, the facts are being extracted from COCP-5410-2024.

2. The petitioner(s) have filed the present contempt petition alleging non-compliance of order dated 19.07.2024 (Annexure P-1),



passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-26714-2019 and other connected writ petition. The operative part of the order dated 19.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) reads as under:-

*“Keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in **Prem Singh (supra)** as cited hereinbefore, the petitioners should be deemed to be a regular employees on the date when they attained the age of superannuation. The respondents are directed to pass such order regularizing the services of the petitioners on the date of their superannuation and accordingly calculate and grant pensionary benefits admissible to them under the Old Pension Scheme as existed prior to 01.01.2004 keeping in view their length of service, which they had rendered with the respondent-department.*

*As directed by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in **Prem Singh (supra)**, the petitioners will not be entitled for regular pay scale for the service rendered and they will only be considered as regular employee for the purpose of grant of pensionary benefits to them and pensionary benefits will be calculated keeping in view the regular pay scale admissible to the post held by them and fixing the salary of the petitioners in the said pay scale keeping in view the length of service of each petitioner on the date of retirement and pensionary benefits along with arrears of pension be released in their favour.*

3. At the very outset, on instructions from Sh. Ramandeep Singh, LA (RDP), learned counsel for the respondent(s) submits that against the aforesaid order dated 19.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Writ Court of which violation is alleged, the respondents have preferred an appeal bearing LPA-459-2025 titled as ‘Zila Parishad Bhatinda thr. its Chief Executive Officer cum ADC Bathinda vs. Hira Devi and others’.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) fairly admitted the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the respondents and accordingly, prayed that all the aforementioned 09 contempt petitions may



be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner(s) to revive the same after the decision of LPA-459-2025.

5. In view of the above, all the aforementioned 09 contempt petitions stand **disposed of**, with the liberty aforesaid.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s) (in all cases), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

26.08.2025

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No