



CRM-M-52881-2025

-1-

135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52881-2025

Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

KARAMJIT ALIAS KARAMJIT SINGH BAGRI AND OTHERS

... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS, 2023') seeking quashing of impugned order dated 08.09.2025 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani in proceedings arising out of FIR No. 85 dated 24.02.2024 under Sections 120-B, 147, 148, 201, 302, 307, 324, 325, 326, 365, 458 and 459 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Bhiwani Sadar, District Bhiwani whereby the warrants of arrest have been issued against the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners



CRM-M-52881-2025

-2-

had earlier approached this Court by way of filing CRR-2260 of 2025 and this Court vide order dated 10.09.2025 issued notice of motion and directed the trial Court to adjourn the case beyond the date fixed by this Court and the same is now fixed for 12.11.2025. He further submits that on the last date of hearing, i.e. 08.08.2025, the trial Court has issued warrants of arrest for the petitioners for the next date in the case where they are summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. in the case titled as *State of Haryana vs. Pardeep and others*. He submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the proceedings and surrender before the trial Court.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the petitioner has the remedy to raise all pleas before the Court below.

5. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners does not object to the petition being disposed of but limits their prayer that they will surrender before the trial Court and prays that till then their arrest may be stayed. Learned counsel further prayed for direction *qua* disposal of bail application in a time bound manner, which would be filed before the trial Court.

In the light of the above, the present petition is hereby disposed of and the petitioners are directed to surrender before the trial Court on the next date fixed i.e. 18.09.2025 and till then they will not be arrested by the police. In case they move any regular bail application, the same be decided by the Court concerned, in accordance with law. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioners fail to appear before the Court concerned, the



CRM-M-52881-2025

-3-

relief granted by this Court shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

17.09.2025

Sima

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>