



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CR-3834-2024 (O&M)

Decided on:28.01.2025

Surbhi Khatana

... Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPRESENT: Mr. S. K. Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 26.11.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Petitioner was required to place on record
copies of all the orders as passed by the trial Court from
the stage when evidence of the petitioner before the trial
court i.e. husband started and not the orders pertaining to
the evidence of the petitioner before this Court i.e. wife.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks an
adjournment.*

As per request, adjourned to 28.01.2025.

November 26,2024”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition be disposed of at this stage but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to revive the petition after complying with the last order.

3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

28.01.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No