



CRWP-1030-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-1030-2025
Decided on: 31.01.2025**

POOJA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Pooja	Bablu
Age (in years)	24 years	27 years
Date of marriage	28.01.2025	
Represented on	28.01.2025 (Annexure P-5)	
Submitted to	Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar	
Family members arrayed as	Respondent Nos.4 to 8	

2. By referring to the details mentioned in the Aadhar Cards of both the petitioners, appended with the present petition, counsel for the petitioners submits that since beginning, both the petitioners are residents of the State of Punjab, and are presently residing at the address mentioned in the memo of parties.



Counsel for the petitioner admits that the complete address and particulars of both the petitioners, showing their place of residence, prior to the marriage are missing, therefore, he would file an amended memo of parties in the Registry, within a period of two days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits both of the petitioners performed their marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 28.01.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the representation dated 28.01.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. It is clarified that counsel for the petitioners would deliver the amended memo of parties in the Registry, within a period of two days from today, and the above said direction order would be subject to the filing of the amended memo of parties.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

31.01.2025
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO