



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

207

RSA-2302-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision:16.09.2025

Raj Paul and Others

.....Appellants

Vs.

Banarsi Dass and Another

.....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present:- None for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

There is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

The order dated 09.01.2025 in continuation of the earlier order dated 12.08.2024 has not been complied with by LR of appellant No.6.

It appears that appellants are no longer interested in pursuing the appeal.

Perusal of the paper-book would also reveal that suit for recovery of ₹56,340/- filed by the plaintiffs Sham Lal and others (*appellants herein*) was though decreed by the trial Court on 14.09.1991 but the appeal filed by one of the defendant Banarsi Dass (*respondent No.1 herein*) was accepted by the first Appellate Court on 24.12.1999, thus dismissing the suit of the plaintiffs.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, when nobody is taking interest in pursuing the appeal on behalf of the appellants, the present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)  
JUDGE**

**September 16, 2025**

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No