



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-34889-2025

Date of decision: 17.09.2025

SIMANJANG SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.51 dated 15.03.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 118 (1), 115 (2) (3) (5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Ajnala District Amritsar.

2. On 29.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 51 dated 15.03.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2) (3) (5) of BNSS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ajnala District Amritsar.

The case of the prosecution is that the marriage of the complainant took place with the petitioner on 24.02.2022. Thereafter, the couple went to Germany. It is further alleged that her husband i.e. the present petitioner and family members started harassing her for bringing insufficient dowry and gave beatings to her and threw her out of the matrimonial house. The complainant got herself medically examined on 13.03.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the marriage was solemnized in a simple manner, and neither the petitioner nor his parents



demanded any dowry items. Furthermore, he contends that the complainant left the matrimonial house voluntarily, without informing the petitioner or his family. He further submits that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature and stated to be caused by a wiper and it could not be ruled out that they are self inflicted. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 17.09.2025.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 29.07.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.07.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be



confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of..

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

17.09.2025

jatn

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No