



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-46932-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2025

SURINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Assem Kataria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.82 dated 07.06.2025, registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozpur, under Section 22 NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on).

2. On 28.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the case as his name surfaced in the disclosure statement of Bhinder Singh @ Bhinda from whom the alleged recovery of 150 intoxicant tablets was effected. He alleges false implication as there is no connection between him and the said co-accused. He is not involved in any other case. Relies on the judgment passed by Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of Toofan Singh Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021 (1) RCR (Criminal) 1. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. MS Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and

on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, affirmed the factum of petitioner being not involved in any other case.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 24.09.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 28.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

24.09.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No