



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212)

**FAO-5265-2002 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-**25.09.2025**

BHUPINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

KASHMIRI LAL KALRA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present:- None for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J.** (Oral)

1. As per office report, notice issued to respondent No.1 has been returned unserved, with the report indicating that the address is incorrect. On call, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants, and no documents have been filed on record to indicate any updated or traceable address for respondent No.1. It is also observed that this is an old matter, falling under the category of *fully burnt cases*, where considerable time has already lapsed. In view of the foregoing, it appears that the appellants are not inclined to actively pursue the present appeal.

2. In view of the foregoing and considering the prevailing circumstances, it appears that there is no justification for keeping the appeal pending on the docket indefinitely. Despite repeated efforts, the appellants have failed to pursue the appeal, and the learned counsel has been unable to furnish any traceable address for service. In such circumstances, in the interest of expeditious disposal of cases and judicial propriety, the instant



appeal is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution. However, the appellants are granted liberty to revive the appeal, if aggrieved by this order, within a period of '**three months**' from the date hereof, subject to compliance with all procedural requirements.

3. In view of the adjudication of the main case, any and all pending miscellaneous applications, if remaining, are also disposed of in consequence thereof, and no further directions are required in respect of such applications.

**25.09.2025**  
Gaurav Sorot

**( VIRINDER AGGARWAL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No