



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-10479-2025

Date of Decision: 09.04.2025

JAI PARKASH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Himanshu Setia, Advocate for
Mr. Himanshu Joshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of bunching increment to the petitioners with effect from 01.01.2006, in terms of the Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within a period of two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the second respondent/Director, Secondary Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months of receiving the representation.



5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

09.04.2025

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No