



131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3262-2025

Date of decision: 27.05.2025

Renu Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

Jangir Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Karan Puggal, Advocate and
Mr. Raghav Puggal, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the orders dated 26.03.2025 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur, whereby an application for permission to prove the agreement to sell dated 16.07.2020 by way of secondary evidence in suit bearing No.CS-435-2023 titled as “Jangir Singh Vs. Renu Bala”, had been allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the primary reason for filing the present revision petition is that the impugned orders should not be construed as if the agreement to sell dated 16.07.2020 stands proved. It is submitted that the petitioner be permitted to raise all the pleas/objections including that of admissibility, relevance etc. with respect



to agreement to sell dated 16.07.2020 and in case of the petitioner doing so, the said objections be considered by the trial Court at the stage of final arguments.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, while upholding the orders dated 26.03.2025 the present revision petition is disposed of with the following observations:-

- i) It would be open to the petitioner to raise all the pleas including the plea of admissibility, relevance etc. with respect to agreement to sell dated 16.07.2020 in accordance with law and in case of the petitioner doing so, all the said aspects would be considered finally by the trial Court at the stage of final arguments. The said aspects would be considered in the light of the entire evidence and documents produced on behalf of both the parties.
- ii) It is clarified that the passing of the impugned orders would not be construed that the agreement to sell dated 16.07.2020 stands proved.

27.05.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No