



205 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-33738-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23-07-2025**

BHAGWAN DASS AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GHISI DEVI AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate
 for the petitioners (*joined through V.C.*).

 Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate
 for respondent No.1 .

 Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
 For respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the orders dated 24.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) and dated 17.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed in favour of the respondent No.1-Senior Citizen directing the petitioners to vacate the house has become infructuous as unfortunately the respondent No.1-Senior Citizen has passed away during the pendency of the present petition.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1-Senior Citizen does not dispute the said fact.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the only order passed against the petitioners was for vacation of the house which was claimed as the ownership by the respondent No.1-Senior Citizen and she is no more in this world, no further orders are required to be passed keeping in view the said facts and the orders dated 24.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) and dated 17.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed against the petitioners will not be implemented.

4. Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

23-07-2025

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO