



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

113

RSA-1289-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.07.2025

Krishna Devi and another

.... Appellants

Versus

Maha Singh and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present: - None.

**NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The appellant-plaintiffs are in second appeal against the judgments and decrees of both the Courts below whereby the suit of the plaintiffs was decreed, however, the relief qua declaration seeking annulment of sale deed No. 66 dated 13.04.2011 was declined.

2. The matter pertains to the year 2020 and notice is yet to be issued in the same.

3. Perusal of the order-sheet(s) reveals that earlier this second appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution by a co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 14.03.2023, on account of non-appearance on behalf of the appellants. Subsequently, upon an application moved by the appellants, the same was restored vide order dated 19.05.2023. Thereafter, the present appeal was listed for hearing 08 times i.e. on 17.07.2023, 17.08.2023, 13.12.2023, 12.02.2024, 21.02.2024, 11.07.2024, 05.12.2024 and 22.04.2025, but the same was adjourned either at the request of learned counsel for the appellants or on account of non-appearance on behalf of the appellants. On the last date of hearing i.e. 22.04.2025, the case was adjourned at the written request of learned counsel for the appellants and



last opportunity was granted to learned counsel for the appellants to address arguments.

4. Today, a request for hearing of the present case through Video Conferencing has been made by learned counsel for the appellants. However, even in the second round of hearing, learned counsel for the appellants has not joined the proceedings through video conferencing.

5. A bare reading of the above facts shows that the appellants have exhibited an utterly casual attitude in their pursuit of the present litigation. It is to be appreciated that very valuable public time of the Court has been expended in affording opportunities to the appellants in the interest of justice. On the other hand, the appellants have adopted an utterly casual and careless approach. It is clear that neither the appellants nor their counsel are seriously interested in pursuing the present matter. Thus, this Court is left with no other option except to **dismiss** the same for non-prosecution.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

**23.07.2025**  
*rishu*

**( NIDHI GUPTA )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable Yes/No**