



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

246

**CRM-M-1731-2018
Date of decision: 10.09.2025**

SUKHWINDER SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Saurav Rao, Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of impugned order dated 21.10.2017 passed by Judicial Magistrate, First Class Gurdaspur in a criminal trial bearing case No. 108 titled as "State versus Manjit Singh arising out of FIR No. 62 dated 13.05.2016 registered under Sections 223 and 224 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Gurdaspur whereby application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for the summoning of petitioners as an additional accused has been allowed.

2. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matters pertains to the year 2018, there is no reason as to why the matter should be deferred any further.

**CRM-M-1731-2018**

-2-

3. Consequently, Mr. Saurav Rao, Advocate, PH/6145/2024, Mobile No. 7719676782, who is present in Court is appointed as Legal Aid Counsel to assist this Court on behalf of the petitioner. He has gone through the paper book of the learned State Counsel.

4. Learned Legal Aid Counsel contends that the present petition had been filed against the order dated 21.10.2017 passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gurdaspur in a criminal trial bearing case No. 108 titled as "*State versus Manjit Singh*" arising out of FIR No. 62 dated 13.05.2016 registered under Sections 223 and 224 of the IPC at Police Station City Gurdaspur whereby the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for the summoning of petitioners as an additional accused has been allowed.

5. Learned State Counsel contends on instructions from ASI Ajay Rajan, that during the pendency of the present proceedings, the trial itself has been concluded and out of the total 05 accused, 04 accused have been acquitted while 01 has been convicted.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage.

7. A copy of this order be sent to High Court Legal Services Committee for information and necessary action.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025*Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No