

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1038 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24.1.2014**

Subhash Chand and others

....Petitioners

Versus

DS Bains and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....
Present: Mr. Manu K.Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. MC Berry, Addl.AG, Punjab
for the respondents.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The order of this Court dated 3.10.2012 required the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners as contained in their representation and take a decision thereon.

Vide subsequent order of this court dated 8.11.2013 this Court had directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the precedents mentioned therein which has since been done as is indicated from the reply filed by the respondents along with the order passed by them. This is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners who state that the law has been wrongly applied. I would not like to comment upon the veracity of the arguments raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners in this regard, but suffice it to say that the directions of this court have been complied with and if the law has been wrongly applied, the petitioners would have a remedy under the law for which they are at liberty to take recourse to appropriate proceedings.

Petition stands disposed of.

24.1.2014
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE