



CRM-M-32251-2025

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32251-2025
DECIDED ON: 29.07.2025

KULVINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Kamal Deep Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Diwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**1. Relief Sought**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.83 dated 29.05.2025 registered under Sections 318(4), 343, 61(2)(a) of BNS at P.S. City Rampura, District Bathinda.

2. Contention**On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case wherein FIR was registered after delay of four years from the date of incident in question. The petitioner has already joined the investigation and was duly interrogated by the Investigating Officer Gurdeep Singh wherein on certain questionnaire, the petitioner was made to interrogate. He further undertakes that the petitioner is ready and



CRM-M-32251-2025

willing to join the investigation, if required, and would also cooperate with the Investigating Agency.

On behalf of the State

Learned counsel for the State opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submits that he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. However, he on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh, could not controvert the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation but the recovery of Rs.42,000/- from the petitioner is yet to be effected.

4. Analysis

Be that as it may, considering the fact that FIR in question has been registered after an unexplained delay of four years and moreover, the petitioner himself joined the investigation. Therefore, merely for recovery of Rs.42,000/-, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be justified and fair wherein the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigation officer concerned.

5. Decision

In the light of above, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of seven days from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

“When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such



CRM-M-32251-2025

directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

- (i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*
- (iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of seven days, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

29.07.2025

Sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No