



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP No.23318 of 2025

Date of Decision: 12.08.2025

Nisha

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate, for respondent no.3/HPSC.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the details of marks as well as the result dated 13.07.2025, Annexures P-8 and P-9, respectively, for the post of Assistant Professor English pursuant to advertisement 48/2024, dated 02.08.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Screening Test for the post was conducted on 08.06.2025; it had hundred questions of one mark each. Provisional answer key was uploaded by the Commission inviting objections from the candidates. Thereafter, the objections were sent to the subject experts, and as per their advice thirteen questions were deleted. The impugned result has been declared on the basis of marks obtained by the candidates out of hundred, and on that basis, petitioner has secured 22.4138 marks. After deletion of thirteen questions, the marks had to be awarded out of eighty-seven; had it been done, she would have scored higher and would also have been shortlisted for the Subject Knowledge Test.



3. Learned counsel for the Commission, on instructions, contends that after deletion of thirteen questions the candidates have been awarded marks for eighty-seven questions of the Screening Test, but the result has been declared on percentage basis. Out of eighty-seven, the petitioner secured 19.5 marks, which were multiplied by hundred and divided by eighty-seven, making it to 22.4138, as is reflected in the final impugned result. After deletion of the questions, the Commission declares the result of tests on percentage basis.

4. Heard.

5. Undisputedly, as per the scheme of the examination the candidates were required to take Screening Test consisting of hundred questions of total hundred marks. And the candidates four times the number of advertised posts were to be called for the next state of selection process, provided they secured minimum twenty-five per cent marks in the Screening Test. Concededly, thirteen questions of the test were deleted on the basis of subject experts' advice. In this situation, the Commission has declared the petitioner's result, as that of all other candidates, on percentage basis as a fraction of hundred by adopting the methodology mentioned above, and the result accordingly reflects the marks. This Court finds no irregularity or illegality about the same.

6. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petition, and it stands dismissed *in limine*.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)**  
**JUDGE**

12.08.2025  
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No