

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:101866



118

CRM-M-26580-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision:07.08.2025

Kamaljit Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA.

Present: Mr. Ritesh K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab.

...

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL).

As per reply filed by the respondent, notice under Sections 179 and 180 of the BNSS, which is pari-materia to Section 160 and 161 Cr.P.C. has been issued to the petitioner as she being wife of the accused in FIR No.28 dated 19.03.2025, registered under Sections 109, 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190, 324(4), 351(2) of the BNS and Section 103 of BNS at Police Station Jalandhar is supposed to be having potential knowledge of material facts and known association.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand insists that no notice had been received by the petitioner. If the respondent having required the presence of the petitioner for the purpose of hearing any inquiry, then she will be served with three days' advance notice under the statutory provisions by the official respondents.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks

withdrawal of the present petitioner with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition afresh, if need so arises.

Ordered accordingly.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

07.08.2025

harjeet

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No