



CRM-M-12616-2025

-1-

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12616-2025

Date of Decision:- 07.07.2025

Mohit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Ankur Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner – Mohit has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 0116 dated 20.09.2024, under Section 3(5) (deleted later on in challan), 80 and 85 of BNS 2023 (added later on), registered at Police Station Moohana, District Sonipat, Haryana.

2. As per facts of case, complainant Jagbir gave his statement that marriage of his daughter Soniya was performed with Mohit on 23.11.2023. He had spent money on food, hospitality, clothes, jewellery and all necessary household items were given at the time of marriage. Mohit and his mother were unhappy with the dowry articles. After one month of their marriage, they started raising demand for money. He gave Rs.50,000/- in cash to save the marriage of his daughter. There was demand for bullet motorcycle. He was unable to satisfy this demand as he was already under debt. He promised to give some money. Thereafter,



Mohit and his mother started quarreling with his daughter. About 10 days ago he received phone call from his daughter that in case their demand for bike was not satisfied, she would be killed. He informed the Sarpanch and other persons about the matrimonial dispute. On 20.09.2024, he received information that her daughter has been killed by hanging her from the fan. It is alleged that his daughter is murdered on account of harassment and demand of dowry. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that allegations levelled against Mohit are false and without any basis. During investigation other family members were found to be innocent. Petitioner was happily married with his wife. He has annexed certain photographs (Annexure P-5 and P-6) to support his version. Petitioner and his family members are not aware why Soniya ended her life. Petitioner is behind the bars since 25.09.2024. He will abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed confirming the facts narrated in the FIR. It is pointed out that dead body was sent for post-mortem examination and the post-mortem report is Annexure R-2. Cause of death in this case is antemortem hanging. Petitioner was arrested on 25.09.2024. There are specific serious allegations regarding harassment and demand for more dowry. Challan is already presented in the Court on 17.12.2024 and the charges are also framed. At present the case is fixed for prosecution evidence. Considering the gravity of offence, petitioner is not entitled for grant of regular bail.



5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. In the case in hand, petitioner-Mohit got married with Soniya on 23.11.2023 and the unfortunate incident took place on 20.09.2024 when the victim died unnatural death. The occurrence took place within less than one year of marriage. Post-mortem report is Annexure R-2. The complainant who is the father of the deceased-victim levelled allegations of harassment on account of demand of dowry. Trial is at initial stage. Statements of material witnesses are yet to be recorded.

Considering the gravity of offence, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail and the same is accordingly declined.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

07.07.2025

Sunil devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No