

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32165-2025
Reserved on: 08.09.2025
Pronounced on: 30.09.2025**

GURPREET SINGH ALIAS GOPI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
344	03.09.2024	City Ferozepur	103/109/351(2)/191(3)/ 190/61(2) of BNS and 25(6) (7)/54/59-A of Arms Act

- The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above came before this Court under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS], seeking regular bail.
- Per paragraph 16 of the bail application and para 15(G) of the reply, the petitioner has the following criminal antecedents:

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Date/Year	Offenses	Police Station
1.	58	21.08.2024	103/3(5) BNS, 25/54/59 of Arms Act	Chola Sahib, Tarn Taran
2.	160	2020	307/34 of IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act	Dehlon, Ludhiana
3.	357	2019	389/401 IPC	Raniyan, Sirsa (Haryana)
4.	81	2024	25/54/59 of Arms Act	Talwandi Bhai, Ferozepur
5.	182	2020	42/52-A of Prisons Act	City Faridkot

- The facts and allegations are being taken from the reply filed by the State, which reads as follows:

“4. That brief facts of the case are that FIR no.344 dated 03.09.2024 u/s 103,109,351(2), 191(3), 61(2) of BNS, 2023 and 25(6),25(7),54,59 Arms Act, Police Station City Ferozepur was registered on the statement of Charanjit Kaur wife of Paramjit Singh against 1) Ravinder Singh alias Ravi alias Sukhu, 2) Rajvir Singh alias Daler Singh son of Mahinder Singh, 3) Sukhchain Singh alias Jass Giani son of Gabbar Singh, 4) Akshay alias Bashi son of Balvir Singh, 5) Gautam son of Channu, 6) Prince son of not known, 7) Ashish Chopra, 8) Happy

Mal and three unknown persons, on the allegations that on 03.09.2024, after paying obeisance at Gurudwara Shri Akalgarh Sahib, when complainant came outside and was waiting for her son, she saw Dildeep Singh (son of complainant) alongwith Anmolpreet Singh, Jaspreet Kaur(niece of complainant), Akashdeep and Harpreet Singh (friends of son of complainant) were coming in a car, when they reached near the gate of Gurudwara Sahib, then three persons on their respective motorcycles armed with pistols came there. She identified them as Ravinder Singh alias Ravi alias Sukhu son of Karnail, Rajvir Singh alias Daler Singh son of Mahinder Singh, Sukhchain Singh alias Jass Giani son of Gabbar Singh, Akshay alias Bhashi son of Balvir Singh and Gautam son of Channu, Prince son of not known along with other unidentified persons. They all open fired and after assailing injuries, managed to run away towards Habib Ke road. On checking of car, Jaspreet Kaur (niece of complainant) was found to be dead whereas, Dildeep Singh (son of complainant) and Akasdeep Singh during their way to hospital succumbed to the injuries. Harpreet Singh and Anmolpreet Singh, who were seriously injured got admitted in the Hospital.”

4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner's counsel prays for bail by imposing any stringent conditions and contends that further pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner and their family. He further submits that the co-accused, namely, Daljeet Singh @ Daljit Singh has been granted regular bail vide order dated 25.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-24842-2025 by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

6. The petitioner's counsel submits that the petitioner would have no objection whatsoever to any stringent conditions that this Court may impose, including that if the petitioner repeats the offense or commits any non-bailable offense which provides for a sentence of imprisonment for more than seven years, the State may file an application to revoke this bail before the concerned Court having jurisdiction over this FIR, which shall have the authority to cancel this bail, and may do so at their discretion, to which the petitioner shall have no objection.

7. The State's counsel opposes bail and refers to 15(F) of the reply, which reads as follows:

“F. The role of the petitioner.

That there are specific allegations that Gurpreet Singh (present petitioner) being the member of the group of the organized crime syndicate not only hatched criminal conspiracy after rummy to commit murder of Dildeep Singh, even after completing their mission, they kept concealed Volkswagon car and mobile phone used in the commission of crime.”

REASONING:

8. Given the role of the petitioner, in this case, he kept the concealed weapon of crime and was at the spot, as such, petitioner's case is different from the co-accused Daljeet Singh @ Daljit Singh and role of the petitioner is on higher side. As such, petitioner is not entitled to bail.

9. Per paragraph 05 of the bail petition, the petitioner has been in custody since 07.09.2024. Per the custody certificate dated 07.09.2025, the petitioner's total custody in

this FIR is 11 months and 17 days which cannot be considered prolong, given the sentence prescribed for the offence.

10. Perusal of the reply indicates involvement of petitioner and further discussion will prejudice the petitioner, as such this Court refrain from discussing more. As such, no case for bail is made out.

11. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the case's merits nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

12. **Petition dismissed.** All pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

30.09. 2025
renubala

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.