



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

CWP-6327-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.03.2025

Naveen Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate,
Mr. Sankalp Sharma, Advocate, and
Mr. Sanyat Lodha, Advocate (through V.C.)
for respondent No.2- PGCIL.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. P.P. Chahar, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CM-4217-CWP-2025

The application is allowed, and the hearing of the main petition is preponed from 28.04.2025 to today and the same is taken on board today itself.

CWP-6327-2025 (O&M)

1. Mr. Gaurav Chopra, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.2- PGCIL submits, on instructions imparted to him, that the competent authority shall proceed to assess compensation in terms of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885, and also in terms of the notification dated



10.07.2024 issued by the Government of Haryana, in respect of the laying of overhead high-tension electric lines, whereunderneath occur the land of the present petitioners.

2. The learned senior counsel for respondent No.2- PGCIL, on instructions, also submits that any incidental death or damage caused to the farmers' crop, as may arise on account of any deleterious effect, accruing to the crops sown on the lands concerned, thus whereabove the overhead high-tension electric lines are laid by the respondents, thereupon after inviting the experts' opinion, the compensation in respect of such incidental death or damages, shall also be assessed by the competent authority.

3. In the face of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition.

4. Disposed of as withdrawn. However, learned counsel for the petitioners shall, within a week from today, provide to the contesting respondent No.2-PGCIL, all the details of their respective bank accounts, where into remissions of the assessed sums of compensation is to be credited. All the supra be concluded within a month from today.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 26, 2025

harish

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No