



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

**CRM-M-37421-2025
Date of decision: 22.07.2025**

AMIT YADAV ALIAS AMAR BAHADUR YADAV

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. K.S. Siwach, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny Namdev, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
AMIT YADAV ALIAS AMAR BAHADUR YADAV	31	23.03.2025	3,5, 13 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gaushamvardhan Act,2015	Jakhal	Fatehabad

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner aged 27 years, is inside the jail since 23.03.2025 i.e. the day when the FIR was registered. He further submits that as a matter of fact there is no recovery effected from the



- 2-

petitioner. However, prosecution has planted the recovery of four oxes in the canter bearing registration No.DL-1LAN/4013 on 22.03.2025, for the purpose of slaughtering.

3. He also submits that there is no explanation as to why there is a delay in registration of FIR and that creates heavy doubt in the version of the prosecution. He further submits that all the recoveries have already been effected, such as the vehicle involved and the four oxes, which were found therein the vehicle.

4. Additionally, he submits that petitioner has falsely been involved in the present case at the instant of complainant-Sahil, with whom petitioner was not having good relations, therefore, prays for grant of regular bail, as the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that petitioner is found involved in offences of serious nature and he has violated special statute, which has been enacted for protection of the cows and oxes. However, he does not dispute the other aspects which has been addressed by counsel for the petitioner.

6. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the respective parties, and has gone through the instant petition.

7. As per the totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/



Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

10. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.07.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No