

[264] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-1555-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 07.04.2025

Ajruddin @ Ajhar ...Appellant

versus

Super India Logistics and another ...Respondents

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

[1] From the perusal of the order passed by the Commissioner, under Employees' Compensation Act, 1923, Nuh, it is evident that there is no scope for enhancement so far as the relevant factor and the notified wages by the Central Government for the purpose of Section 4 (1) (b) are concerned. So far as the penalties are concerned, para No.25 of the order reads as under:-

"25. In view of the above arguments advanced by the A.R. of the parties and gone through the case file and in view of the provisions of the Act, I hold that a show cause notice be issued to the respondents to the effect why they should not be penalized for their act in not helping the poor dependents of their employee by depositing the compensation amount within one month. This issue is decided accordingly in favour of the applicant. "

[2] In view there of, finding no scope of enhancement. The present appeal is **dismissed**.

[3] All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands *disposed off*.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

07.04.2025

'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No