



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-37160-2025**Date of decision: 16.07.2025****KAPIL KUMAR JAIN**

...Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.Shrey Sachdeva, Mr.Tushar Kakkar,
Mr.Rahish A.Nagrath and Mr.Mehul Nagrath Advocates
for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No. 25 dated 24.09.2024 under Sections 308(2), 318(4), 319(2), 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(C) and 66 (D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Punjab State Cyber Crime Police Station, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with his co-accused was indulging in cyber crime. They have cheated innocent persons by making threatening phone calls and extracted money from them. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner in connivance with co-accused told the complainant Harjinder Singh Chahal that a subpoena had been issued on his name by the Bombay High Court on the allegations that he was indulged in money laundering. The complainant was asked to deposit certain amounts in different bank accounts on the pretext of helping him for clearing charges against him. Thus, complainant was made to deposit Rs.96 lakhs in different accounts. The scam involved a



huge amount of money amounting to approximately Rs.2.5 crores, out of which Rs.9 lakhs were transferred in the account of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the account details as well as the account passwords were with the main accused, who was operating his account; he has no role in any of the transactions and he has not utilized even a single penny. It is also stated that the petitioner had also reported this crime to the concerned authorities prior to the registration of the FIR.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in cyber crime fraud which amounts to crores of rupees.

7. I have heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

8. Keeping in view the serious nature of the allegations; scale of the fraud committed by the petitioner as well as the fact that money was deposited in his account, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

July 16, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No