



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

COCP-540-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 28th, 2025

M/s Sainik Surveillance Services Pvt. Ltd.

and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Sh. Anand Mohan Sharan, IAS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 14.07.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-4556-2018.

2. The relevant portion of order dated 14.07.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-4556-2015, is reproduced as under:-

“The present petition is accordingly allowed. The respondent shall release the amount wrongly withheld by it within a period of 04 weeks from today along with interest @ 6% per annum from the date when the payment became due. A further costs of Rs.20,000/- is imposed upon the respondent-Haryana State Council for Science and Technology, for forcing the petitioner to undergo an unrequited litigation.”



3. Learned State counsel submits that in compliance of order dated 14.07.2023, a compliance affidavit of Rajiv Rattan, IAS, Director General, Directorate of Science and Technology-cum-Secretary, EC, Haryana State Council for Science, Innovation and Technology, dated 24.07.2024 has been filed along with Annexures R/1, R/2 and R/3..

4. The relevant portion of compliance affidavit of Rajiv Rattan, IAS, Director General, Directorate of Science and Technology-cum-Secretary, EC, Haryana State Council for Science, Innovation and Technology, filed on 24.07.2024, is reproduced as under:-

“[2] That after the receipt of the orders of this Hon'ble Court, the respondents complied with the same in letter and spirit, as the Bills of the petitioner for the month of August, 2013, September, 2013 and October, 2013 were pending for payment, accordingly the respondents calculated the period from the payable date of each bill upto July, 2023 and thereafter the payable interest for this period and by calculating the total amount, the amount was paid which also include the imposed cost of Rs.20,000/- and the security deposit of Rs.2.00 lacs.

For ready reference the calculation table is reproduced as under:-

Due bill	Amount of bill (in Rs.)	Payable month	Period Year/Month	Amount of Interest @6% upon the bill amount (in Rs.)	Total Amount (in Rs.)
August - 2013	1,09,187	09/2013	09/2013 to 07/2023 (9-years & 11-months)	64,966	1,74,153
September - 2013	1,14,528	10/2023	10/2013 to 07/2023 (9-years & 10-months)	67,572	1,82,100
October - 2013	1,23,057	11/2013	11/2013 to 07/2023 (9-years & 10-months)	71,989	1,95,046



			09-months)		
Total	3,46,772			2,04,527	5,51,299
				Cost imposed by Court	20,000
				Security money	2,00,000
				Total amount	7,71,299

The bank statement showing that the aforesaid amount of Rs.7,71,299/- had been already credited to the account of petitioner before going ahead in contempt, is appended as Annexure R-1. Further in view of the recent consultation with the Advocate General, Haryana office, the interest amount on the security deposit of Rs.2.00 lacs has also been released and credited to the account of petitioner after deduction the amount of Rs.24,894/- through bank advice no. HSCSIT/2024/1614 dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure R-2). Details are as under:-

Security deposit of Rs.2.00 lacs already credited to the petitioner on 03.10.2023.	Rs.2.00 lacs
Interest on security deposit for the period 10/2023 to 07/2023 (118-months)	Rs.1,18,000/-
Less amount paid to Sh. Jag Ram on account of depositing his EPF/ESI by Sainik Surveillance Services Pvt. Ltd.	Rs. 24,894/-
Balance paid on 11.07.2024 to the petitioner	Rs. 93,106/-

[3] That the security amount of Rs.2,00,000/- as it is had been already paid / released to Petitioner in compliance with the judgement came in CWP/4556/2018. The interest on the security amount of Rs.2.00 lacs having been paid / released to the petitioner through bank advice (R-2) with the consultation of Advocate General office, Haryana.”



5. A perusal of the above shows that order dated 14.07.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-4556-2015, has been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

August 28th, 2025

Sahil

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No