



255 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22618-2025
Date of decision: 27.05.2025**

JAI RAM AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.335 dated 04.12.2023 under Sections 411/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 30.04.2025 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.335 dated 04.12.2023 under Sections 411/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his memo of appearance and undertakes to file his power of attorney on or before the next date



of hearing. He admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

Learned State counsel submits that one Raja Ram, from whose hand, bag was snatched containing the stolen goods has not been impleaded in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Raja Ram is not relevant as stolen goods belong to respondent No.2 and he is real victim and the complainant in the present case. As such, the consent of respondent No.2 alone is sufficient for quashing of the FIR (supra) on the basis of compromise.

Adjourned to 27.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kubwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.335 dated 04.12.2023 under Sections 411/120-B of IPC



registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

May 27, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |