



297

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27925-2025

Date of Decision: 26.05.2025

JITENDER ALIAS PAWAN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present : Mr. Sharad Choudhary, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0023 dated 27.01.2025, registered under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 333, 351(2), 110, 61(2) of BNS, Police Station Badhra District Charkhi Dadri.

2. On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner Section 117 is ordered to be added in the head note as well as prayer clause of the petition. The Registry of this Court is directed to carry out the necessary correction in this regard.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and it has been alleged that the petitioner had conspired with the co-accused. In fact he is one of the co-sharers in land in



question, where the occurrence had taken place. He further contends that, the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 10.05.2025 and is in custody since then. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the order dated 05.05.2025 (Anneuxre P-4), passed by this Court, whereby a similarly placed co-accused Dinesh Kumar has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. It is not in dispute that Dinesh Kumar, similarly placed co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court. At present the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 10.05.2025. However, on earlier occasion also the petitioner remained in custody from 16.02.2025 to 12.03.2025. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**26.05.2025**  
*vipin*

**(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No