



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.117

Date of Decision: 20.01.2025

1) CWP-201-2025

Vijay Pal

Versus

.... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

2) CWP-578-2025

Sudesh Rani

Versus

.... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

3) CWP-579-2025

Surender Kumar

Versus

.... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

These petitions have been filed *inter alia* seeking a direction to the respondents to declare the petitioners' result for the posts of TGT, applied by them in response to advertisement 2/2023, dated 21.02.2023, and issue appointment letters to them.

2. Pursuant to the last order dated 13.01.2025, petitioners' results have been produced in sealed covers, which have been retained on their



respective files as Annexure 'A'. The results show the petitioner in CWP No.201 of 2025, i.e., Vijay Pal has secured more than the cut-off marks in Rest of Haryana (ROH) as well as Mewat (MWT) cadre under BCA category, and has been selected in category no.7 for the post of TGT Science (ROH); petitioner in CWP No.578 of 2025, i.e., Sudesh Rani has secured more than the cut-off marks in ROH and Mewat cadres under SC category, and has been selected in category no.6 for the post of TGT Sanskrit (ROH); petitioner in CWP No.579 of 2025, i.e., Surender Kumar has secured more than the cut-off marks in ROH cadre under SC category, and has been selected in category no.1 for the post of TGT English (ROH).

3. In view thereof, the Commission is directed to recommend the petitioners, who shall be appointed by the Department as TGTs in their respective categories as per merit, subject to their fulfilling the requisite conditions. The appointment shall be with all notional service benefits from the date other selected candidates pursuant to the advertisement in question have been appointed. The direction shall be carried out by the respondents within four weeks of receiving a certified copy of the order.

4. Disposed of.

5. In case the directions are not complied with within the stipulated period, each of the petitioners shall be entitled to costs of ₹50,000 to be paid by the defaulting officer concerned.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

20.01.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No