



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-1782-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.08.2025

Deepak Monga

...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate with
Mr.S.S Mor, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 23.05.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the in fact the complainant has concealed the genesis of the occurrence and has twisted the facts only to falsely involve the appellant. In fact the appellant is the owner of the premises where the complainant is running his clinic and now the complainant had stopped paying the rent for the last more than six months. At 11.40 AM on 24.04.2025, the appellant went to the clinic of the complainant and requested him to pay the rent but the complainant in collusion with other persons started abusing and attacked him. Even no casteist remarks were made by the appellant at any point of time and no offence under Section 3 of the SC & ST Act is made out against the appellant. Even the allegations levelled by the

complainant are exaggerated and the occurrence had not taken place in a public view”.

3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant has reiterated the above submissions and further submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.05.2025 passed by this Court, the appellant has joined the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation. He further submits that the appellant had also co-operated with the I.O in the present case.

5. On the other hand learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that the appellant has concealed the material facts from this Court and even now they are jointly threatening the complainant. Thus, the present appeal deserves to be dismissed by this Court.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. In the present case, the appellant was granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail on 23.05.2025 and has also been appeared before the I.O in the present case and is no longer required for further investigation in the present case. Still further, there appears to be a land dispute between the parties and the complainant has already in the process of vacating the premises in question.

8. In view of the above, the interim order dated 23.05.2025 is made absolute. The appellant shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) *that the appellant shall continue to join the investigation as and when required by the I.O.*

(ii) *he shall not threaten or intimidate the complainant or any other witness of the present case.*

9. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of, accordingly.

21.08.2025

hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No