

[228] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1953 of 2021
Date of Decision : 04.05.2022

Sangram Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Mahaveer Singh, Addl. Chief Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-5) dated 13.02.2020 in CWP No.3886 of 2020 in case titled as 'Sangram Singh versus State of Haryana and others.'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-5) reveals that CWP No.3886 of 2020 was disposed of vide order dated 13.02.2020, by directing the respondents to decide representation dated 25.11.2019 within 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioner was found entitled to interest on the delayed payment, the same was ordered to be released to the petitioner forthwith otherwise a speaking order was to be passed.

[3] Learned AAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure R-1 dated 06.07.2020 and Annexure R-2 dated 30.09.2021 passed by the District Education Officer, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, as well as by the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula, rejecting the representation dated 25.11.2019 submitted by the petitioner.

[4] None is present on behalf of the petitioner nor has any request for adjournment or pass over been made.

[5] Accordingly, in view of orders (Annexures R-1 & R-2), dated 06.07.2020 and 30.09.2021 respectively, the contempt petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge orders (Annexures R-1 & R-2) by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[6] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.05.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*