



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4129-2025

Date of decision: 24.07.2025

Yashpal Rana and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Paramjit Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Yashvardhan Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anuj Raura, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure P-13) passed by the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Panchkula, whereby in view of application dated 14.04.2025 filed by the respondents, the Court ordered that the documents Ex.D26 to Ex.D29 will be treated like marked documents and evidence of the petitioners was closed.

2. On 11.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present:- Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Yashvardhan Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Tushar Kush, Advocate for the petitioners.*

Inter alia contends that the documents which have been



*exhibited are certified copies of the judgment etc. which are part of the judicial proceedings. It is submitted that even otherwise, once documents have been admitted in evidence and have been exhibited, they cannot be de-exhibited at a later stage and in the said regard, has relied upon the judgment of the Coordinate Bench of this Court in case titled as **Bhagat Singh Vs. Municipal Corporation Gurgaon and others passed in CR-396-2023 decided on 23.01.2023** and has submitted that the appropriate stage for considering said objection was the final stage of hearing. It is further submitted that in case respondent Nos.1 to 3 have any objection then the petitioners be granted one opportunity to examine the relevant officials to produce the said record and for the inconvenience caused to respondent Nos.1 to 3, the petitioners are ready to pay reasonable costs.*

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only for 17.07.2025.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to serve respondent Nos.1 to 3 through dasti process as well as through their counsel appearing before the trial Court.

The proceedings before the trial Court may go on but the final order be not passed till the next date of hearing.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

The petitioners are directed to bring an amount of Rs.30,000/- as costs to be paid to respondent Nos.1 to 3, on the next date of hearing.

11.07.2025”

3. Thereafter, on 17.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present:Mr.Akshay Jindal, Advocate and

Mr.Yashvardhan Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Anuj Raura, Advocate for respondents no.1 to 3.



Learned counsel for respondents no.1 to 3 prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 24.07.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

The petitioners are directed to bring the amount of Rs.30,000/- on the next date of hearing.

July 17, 2025”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid orders, he has got an amount of Rs.30,000/- which has been handed over to learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 which fact has been affirmed by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 who undertakes to pay the same to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, the documents which were earlier exhibited as Ex.D26 to Ex.D29 were all part of the judicial record and it was not the case of the respondents that the said documents are not true copies of the original judgment, statement etc. and thus, the said documents could not have been treated to be marked documents and were required to be treated as exhibits. It is further submitted that impugned order vide which the said documents have been ordered to be treated as marked documents is illegal and against law and deserves to be set aside.

6. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 has submitted that the said documents are irrelevant and thus, respondent Nos.1 to 3 be permitted to show at the time of final arguments that the said documents are irrelevant and do not further the case of the petitioners in any manner.



7. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and fair stand taken by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and also the fact that the petitioners have paid an amount of Rs.30,000/- to respondent Nos.1 to 3 as was ordered vide order dated 11.07.2025, the present revision petition is partly allowed and order dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure P-13) is set aside and the documents i.e., Ex.D26 to Ex.D29 would be treated as exhibited documents and not marked documents. It would be however open to both the parties to show as to whether the said documents are relevant or irrelevant for the purpose of adjudication of the main case.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

24.07.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No