



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(235)

CWP-28815-2022

Date of Decision : 28.04.2025

Reetu Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab for respondent No.1.

Ms. Ashir Gulati, Advocate for

Mr. Prashant Manchanda, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Reply dated 22/23.01.2025, by way of affidavit of Er. Munish Kumar Jindal, Addl. Superintendent Engineer (O&M) Division, PSPCL, Patiala, on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3, filed today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, with liberty to approach the Sub Divisional Officer concerned, seeking compensation on account of electrocution, by moving an apt motion, specifically, in view of the policy dated 08.12.2023, as adopted by the respondent distribution licensee.

3. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with the liberty as aforesaid.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

April 28, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No