



CRM-M-13053-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-13053-2025

Date of decision : 29.04.2025

Vishal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in FIR No.92 dated 01.11.2024, under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 and 61 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Sonipat, District Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the disclosure statement of co-accused. It is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner along with co-accused had duped money from the bank account of the complainant to the tune of Rs.9,80,500/- and were actively involved in creation of fake documents. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 05.11.2024; nothing has been recovered from him and therefore, prays that he be released on bail.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in Court which



CRM-M-13053-2025

-2-

indicates that the petitioner is in custody for 05 months and 22 days as on 27.04.2025. Learned State counsel upon instructions submits that although charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submission of learned counsel(s) and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 22 days; he is not involved in any other case and the trial, which is yet to commence, is likely to take long time, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2025

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No