



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207-2

CRM-M-51646-2025

Date of decision: 13.10.2025

SATNAM SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.216 dated 26.08.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 115(2), 333, 190, 191(3) of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sohana, SAS Nagar, District Mohali.

2. On 15.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.216 dated 26.08.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 109, 115(2), 333, 190, 191(3) of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sohana, SAS Nagar, District Mohali; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the case in hand is one of version and cross-version, the petitioner is a young man aged 20 years with no criminal antecedents, assuming arguendo the prosecution version is taken to be correct the only allegations against the petitioner is that he has given kick blow to the injured, whereas co-accused Avtar Singh gave the injury qua Section 109 of BNS, the said Avtar Singh has already been taken into custody & the



petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein. Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-tate of Punjab.

At this stage, Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his vakalatnama.

Adjourned to 13.10.2025. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-50636-2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 19.09.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 15.09.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated



15.09.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

13.10.2025

jatn

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No