



127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-21480-2025

Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

NIRMALJEET SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

PUNJAB STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION AND ANOTHER
.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. J.P.Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* for staying the recovery from the pension of the petitioner till the pendency of the statutory appeal dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner against the order of punishment dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) issued vide endorsement dated 28.04.2025 read with order dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-1), whereby recovery of Rs.1,54,211/- has been imposed by respondent No.1 on the petitioner. Further prayer has been made for staying the operation of the order dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) till disposal of aforesaid statutory appeal dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the statutory appeal expeditiously by passing a speaking order in a time

bound manner and till decision of the said appeal, no recovery may be effected from the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate for Mr. Athar Ahmed, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection if the time bound directions are issued to the Appellate Authority to consider and decide the appeal filed by the petitioner.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Appellate Authority to decide the statutory appeal dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 06 months from date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the Appellate Authority.

6. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

29.07.2025
smriti

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No