



CRM-M-34289-2025

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34289-2025
Date of decision: 15.07.2025**

MALTI @ MANVI**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C (now 482 of BNSS) in case FIR No. 96 dated 31.05.2025 under Section 21-B of NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on vide GD No. 03 dated 01.06.2025 registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib.
2. The case of the prosecution is that 08 grams of heroin was recovered from one Anita wife of Ashu and in her disclosure statement, she revealed that the current petitioner sold the heroin to her.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused. He further submits that there is no direct or indirect evidence to connect the petitioner with the present case except the disclosure statement made by the co-accused.
4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in another FIR of 2001 wherein she along with her son was convicted.



CRM-M-34289-2025

5. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is involved in another case under the NDPS Act, which shows that the petitioner is a habitual offender and has criminal antecedents, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

15.07.2025

renu

**(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No