

2025:PHHC:021156



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-3784-2025

Date of Decision: 11.02.2025

DR. B.B. KAKKAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court by raising a challenge to the order dated 03.02.2025 whereby the services of the petitioner have been terminated by the respondents by not granting any further extension in the contractual period.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at this juncture, he would confine his prayer only to the extent that in the event of the respondents issuing/publishing any fresh advertisement for engagement of employees, the petitioner shall be permitted to participate in the same.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Sr. Panel Counsel enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-Union of India and submits that the respondents would have no objection in considering the application submitted by the petitioner, in the event of issuance of any fresh advertisement and further subject to the eligibility conditions prescribed therein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts the abovesaid arrangement and submits that in view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the instant petition has become infructuous at this stage.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 11, 2025.

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No