



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-4097-2025(O&M)
Date of decision : 19.02.2025**

CHANDER PRAKASH KATHURIA

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE AUTHORITY UNDER THE PAYMENT OF WAGES ACT, 1936
CIRCLE KARNAL AND ANR**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Manan Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the company has not been made the party and only the Director namely Chander Prakash Kathuria has been made party and the direction has only been given to the Director instead of the Company and the Company is not averse in complying with the order passed by the authorities under the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 and let the company pay on behalf to its Director.

2. Keeping in view the argument raised as the Company is not averse to comply with the direction given by the authorities under the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 and the workman is only interested in getting the wages either from the Director or the Company.

3. On the oral asking, the Company-M/s Ultra Tech Developers Pvt. Ltd. is impleaded as petitioner No. 2 and the undertaking of the Company is recorded that the payment of Rs. 42,000/- will be made by the

**CWP-4097-2025****:2:**

company to the respondent-workman. If any amount has been paid by Mr. Chander Prakash Kathuria, refund of same can be claimed by him from the Company.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the direction given by this Court, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

19.02.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No