



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No. 1889 of 2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:07.07.2025.**

**Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran through its Chief Administrator  
and others**

**.....Appellants**

**Versus**

**Sudarshana Batra and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate  
for applicant-appellants.

**\*\*\*\*\***

**LISA GILL, J.**

1. Prayer in this appeal is for setting aside order dated 18.07.2024 passed by learned Single Bench in CWP No. 14616 of 2024 filed by respondent No.1/writ-petitioner.

2. Brief facts necessary for adjudication of the matter are that respondent No.1/writ-petitioner filed CWP No. 14616 of 2024 for directing respondent No.2 i.e., District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad to decide Execution Petition No.79 of 2023 filed by her in a time bound manner and in conformity with the High Court Rules and Orders besides various judgments passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court.

3. Learned Single Bench on considering the facts and circumstances besides various *zimni* orders passed by executing Court concluded that writ-petitioner was not seeking any unnecessary adjournments and that respondent-District Consumer Disputes Redressal

Commission, Faridabad was directed to decide Execution Petition No. 79 of 2023 filed by writ-petitioner as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four months from the date next fixed before it.

4. Review Application i.e., RA-CW-153 of 2025 was filed by present appellant on the ground that at the time of institution of CWP No. 14616 of 2024, writ-petitioners did not reveal the pendency of an appeal filed by present appellants. Learned Single Bench vide order dated 01.04.2025 dismissed the said Review Application as well as application seeking condonation of delay of 220 days in filing the said review application, finding no merit therein.

5. Aggrieved therefrom, present appeal has now been filed with a delay of 24 days in re-filing and 256 days in filing.

6. Sole ground raised before us for challenging the impugned order (s) is that the writ-petitioner did not reveal the pendency of the appeal filed by present appellants before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission despite being aware of the same. Moreover, delay in filing the review application had occurred because the appellants were not aware of the passing of order dated 18.07.2024 which was admittedly passed in *limine*.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the applicant-appellants and perusing the file, we do not find any ground whatsoever to cause interference in this matter.

8. Admittedly, CC No. 529 of 2021 was decided in favour of the writ-petitioner on 21.03.2023 by District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad. His further claim for interest did not fructify and he ultimately filed Execution Petition no. E.A. No.79 of 2023 seeking implementation of order dated 21.03.2023. Learned counsel for appellants is

unable to deny that no stay or interim order had ever been granted by the appellate authority in the appeal instituted by it. Moreover, in the interregnum said appeal has also been dismissed by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission on 01.04.2025.

9. In the given circumstances, learned counsel for appellants is unable to point out any ground whatsoever which calls for interference in the impugned order (s). In the given factual matrix, we do not find any illegality, infirmity or perversity in impugned order. Same are accordingly upheld.

10. There is a delay of 24 days in re-filing and 256 days in filing this appeal. As the matter has been decided on merits, adjudication of these applications is rendered academic and the applications are disposed of accordingly.

11. No other argument has been addressed.

12. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, this appeal is dismissed being devoid of any merit with no order as to cost. Pending application (s), if any, stand (s) disposed of accordingly.

**(LISA GILL )**  
**JUDGE**

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 07, 2025.**

s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.  
Whether reportable : Yes/No