



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8312-2024

Date of Decision : **20.02.2025**

PREMJIT SINGH GREWAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Jyoti Chaudhary, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for respondent no.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus* upon respondent no.3, not to demolish and dismantle the land in the ownership of the petitioner and other villagers on the pretext of making boundary wall for the railways without adhering to the settled legal provisions.

2. Today, in deference to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2025, Dr.Poonam Preet Kaur, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Ludhiana (West), has caused appearance before this Court, and she has filed reply, by way of an affidavit sworn in by her, alongwith

demarcation report, which are ordered to be taken on record, and a copy thereof is supplied to learned counsel opposite.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by the quarter concerned, and with the strength of the reply (*supra*), informs this Court that demarcation to the satisfaction of the petitioner has already been done, therefore, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition.

4. The aforesaid submission, as made by learned State counsel, is not opposed by learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the instant petition is ordered to be **closed**, at this stage. However, if, in case, in future, the grievance of the petitioner still subsists, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the authority/forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

February 20, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No