



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-39135-2025

Date of Decision : 01.09.2025

PARMOD KUMAR ALIAS PINKA

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Ashish Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.45 dated 17.05.2024, under Sections 326, 452, 324, 148, 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Jodhewal, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the co-accused namely Ishwar Singh @ Ishu @ Ishwer Singh, whose case is on same footing, has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 31.07.2025 in CRM-M-25692 of 2025. The petitioner is in custody since 07.01.2025 i.e. more than seven months. He has clear antecedents. No other case is pending against him except the present one. He is not involved in any other case. Trial will take sufficient time to conclude as co-accused is not appearing.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner by submitting



that the specific role is attributed to the present petitioner as he caused injuries on the ear of the complainant with a kirch. Co-accused namely Ishwar and Vansh who have been granted bail are not appearing before the trial Court.

5. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that co-accused namely Ishwar Singh @ Ishu @ Ishwer Singh, whose case is on same footing, has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 31.07.2025 in CRM-M-25692 of 2025; petitioner is in custody since 07.01.2025 i.e for the last seven months; there is no material on record to show that he is involved in some other case except the present one as admitted by learned State counsel; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as measure of punishment and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

01.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No