



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

209-A

FAO-620-2009 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2025

Umed Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

Rajinder Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Vijay Singh Kajla, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 3-Insurance Co.

Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The appellant-claimant is in appeal against the Award dated 10.10.2008, passed by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Hisar, whereby the claim petition filed by the appellant under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, was dismissed.

The matter pertains to the year 2009.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite his best efforts, the appellant is not coming forward to pursue the present appeal and as such, he pleaded no instructions in the matter.

In the aforesaid premise, issuance of fresh notice to the appellant would be a futile exercise. It appears that due to sheer long pendency of the present appeal before this Court for a period of more than 15 years, the appellant has lost interest in pursuing the same, as no



attempt has been made by him to contact his counsel or to engage a new counsel.

Be that as it may, in view of the foregoing circumstances, the instant appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant, to move an appropriate application within a period of 06 months from today for reviving the same, if so, advised.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

25.02.2025
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/No**