



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

216

FAO-1550-2008 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.09.2025

Secy. Vishav Gurumat Rohani Mission and Another Appellants

Versus

Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the appellant.

Ms. Kanika, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 1-Insurance Company.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Arora, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3/claimants.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a partially burnt case and the record has been photocopied from the salvaged record.
2. The appellants/owner and driver, respectively of the offending vehicle have filed the instant appeal against the Award dated 05.03.2008, passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh, in MACT Case No. 157 of 2006 dated 19.05.2006 filed by claimant/respondents No. 2 and 3 under Section 16-3-A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, whereby recovery rights have been granted to respondent No. 1-Insurance Company to recover the amount of compensation from the appellant No. 1/owner of the offending vehicle.



3. The matter pertains to the year 2010 and on the last date of hearing 10.02.2025 when this case was listed for hearing, fresh notices were ordered to be issued to the appellants.

4. Pursuant thereto, office report dated 12.09.2025, has been received, as per which notice issued to appellant No. 1/owner of the offending vehicle has been received back duly served; whereas notice issued to appellant No. 2/driver of the offending vehicle has been received back with the report 'gone abroad'. However, none has put in appearance on behalf of appellant No. 1/owner of the offending vehicle, despite service.

5. In the aforesaid premise, issuance of fresh notices to the appellants would be a futile exercise. It appears that due to sheer long pendency of the present appeal before this Court for a period of more than 17 years, the appellants have lost interest in pursuing the same, as no attempt has been made by them to contact their counsel or to engage a new counsel.

6. Be that as it may, the instant appeal is **disposed of**, with liberty to the appellants to move an appropriate application within a period of 02 months from today for reviving the same, if so, advised.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

23.09.2025

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No