



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

174

**CRWP-5820-2025
Decided on: May 28, 2025**

Sandeep Kaur and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. H.S. Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
(appeared on advance notice).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they are living in a live-in relationship and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 8, i.e. private respondents.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:

Petitioner No.	Name & parantage	DoB or Age
1.	Sandeep Kaur daughter of Narinderpal Singh	19.07.2004 / 21 years
2.	Karanveer Singh son of Iqbal Singh	09.07.2005 / 20 years

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, are living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the life of the petitioners. However, petitioner No. 2, namely, Karanveer Singh, is not of marriageable age. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing



the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexures P-3), to respondent No. 2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda,, to look into the representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 28, 2025
Pkapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**