



CRM-M-26958-2021 and connected matters

**272 IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: 22.09.2025

CRM-M-26958-2021

Tarun Gakkhar Petitioner

versus

Krishan Gujjar and others Respondents

CRM-M-26986-2021

Tarun Gakkhar Petitioner

versus

Bhim Sain and another Respondents

CRM-M-27015-2021

Tarun Gakkhar Petitioner

versus

Rajesh and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Monika Khatri, Advocate for Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Vinod S. Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of all the above-said three petitions as they have arisen out of same cause of action. Brief facts of case are extracted from CRM-M-26958-2021.

2. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC for setting aside the order dated 30.04.2021 passed by Additional Sessions Judge,



CRM-M-26958-2021 and connected matters

Hisar whereby the application for cancellation of bail granted to the respondent-accused in case FIR No.333 dated 08.06.2020 registered under Sections 384, 341, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar has been dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that notice of motion in the instant petition was issued on 30.07.2021 after noticing the specific contention that the respondent-accused persons threatened the petitioner as well as his father and that FIR with respect to said separate incident has also been registered in the year 2021. She contends that on the basis of said complaint, father of the petitioner was also provided a security guard. She further submits that the respondent-accused have misused the concession of bail by threatening the witnesses to resile from their testimonies and also intimidating the petitioner as well as his father from pursuing the complaint, the concession of bail granted in their favour ought to be withdrawn.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, submits that investigation in the case in hand is complete and chargesheet stood filed on 15.11.2021. Charges have already been framed and one witness already stands examined. He further contends that the security provided to the father of the petitioner was withdrawn. It has also been submitted that during the period of last nearly four years, there is no complaint about receipt of any threat or intimidation to the petitioner or any of the witnesses or members of the family.

5. Taking into consideration the aforesaid statement, I am of the opinion that no further cause of action would survive in the present petition.



CRM-M-26958-2021 and connected matters

This petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file appropriate petition as and when any cause of action accrues.

22.09.2025
sonia

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No