



CWP-22759-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-22759-2025

Date of Decision : 07.08.2025

Vikas Attri

...Petitioner

Versus

Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Amit Siwach, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate  
Mr. Arun William, Advocate and  
Mr. Anirudh Bhaskar, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 6.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)**

1. The petitioner, a Ph.D. Scholar in the Mechanical Engineering Department (MED) of Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology (DCRUST), Murthal, District Sonipat, has approached this Court, through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking *mandamus* upon the respondents, to conduct Ph.D. Pre-Submission Seminar of the petitioner, as the same has been kept in abeyance for the past one year, causing mental agony and harassment to the petitioner.

2. On advance notice, Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate, is present in the Court, on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 6, and he has filed his memo of appearance today in the Court. He undertakes to file his duly executed *vakalatnama* with the Registry of this Court, within a period of one week from today.



CWP-22759-2025

2

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions imparted to him from the quarter concerned, fairly apprise this Court that in order to address the grievance of the petitioner, a Special Committee will be constituted, and an apt decision thereof, would be taken within a period of four weeks from the date of passing of the order.

4. In view of the above submission, learned counsel for the petitioner considering the fact that the petitioner is a student of the respondent/University, therefore, he does not wishes to press the instant petition, at this stage. However, he seeks liberty to revive the instant petition, in case, the grievance of the petitioner is not redressed within the stipulated period.

5. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, the instant writ petition is ordered to be **dismissed as not pressed, at this stage**, with the asked for liberty.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

August 07, 2025  
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No