



242

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19692-2025

Date of Decision:01.05.2025

AMAN KUMAR @ AMAN KUMAR SHA @ AMAN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present : Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**


1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.12 dated 31.01.2025, registered under Sections 223, 125 & 110 BNS, 2023 (erstwhile Sections 188, 336 & 308 IPC, 1860), Police Station Sadar Khanna, District Khanna (Ludhiana).

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by ASI Charanjit Singh and the same has been reproduced below:-

*“The S.H.O, Police Station Sadar Khanna, Jai Hind, today I ASI alongwith HC Harpreet Singh No. 718 Khanna, CT Sukhdeep Singh No. 898 Khanna, CT Davinder Singh No. 645 Khanna, PHG Surinder Singh No. 33494 in passenger private vehicle with*



*Laptop, Printer were patrolling in regarding check of suspected men/suspected vehicles were present at the Illaqa Police Station then at the time about 03:30 PM when I ASI alongwith police party reached at Apna Vaishnu Dhaba G.T. Road in the limit of Village Libra then special informer informed me ASI secretly that Aman Kumar alias Aman s/o Raju Kumar ro Village Ratanheri Police Station Sadar Khanna and Dinesh Kumar s/o Yogeshwar Pal r/o Village Fatehpurthana Kishanpur District Fatehpur U.P. presently resident of New Shivaji Nagar, Near Samrala Chowk, Near Shingar Cinema, District Ludhiana and Ramanpreet Singh alias Mani s/o Mohinder Singh r/o House No. 5337 Street No. 01. Shivaji Nagar, Ludhiana Division No. 3, Ludhiana District Ludhiana who were riding on E-Electriko E-rickshaw were taking huge quantity of bundles of china dore (string), are going from Ludhiana to Khanna Side. They have previously brought and sold large quantities of china string which has cut people's kidneys and caused more damage due to which the use of China Dore can lead to the death of common people. If roadblock is set up opposite Apna Vaishnu Dhaba, G.T. Road in the limit of Village Libra immediately, then the above said Aman Kumar alias Aman, Dinesh Kumar and Ramanpreet Singh alias Mani above said can be caught along with huge quantity of china dore. The information is sure and reliable. By the Hon'ble Office of Deputy Commissionercum-District Magistrate, Ludhiana the Order/Letter Endorsement No. 31-45/MA dated 01.01.2025 was issued and from dated 01.01.2025 upto date 28.02.2025 china door is fully prohibited. Aman Kumar alias Aman, Dinesh Kumar and Ramanpreet Singh alias Mani above said illegally kept china dore in their custody and have committed the offence 223,125,110 BNS. So, the written message for registering the case against Aman Kumar alias Aman s/o Raju Kumar r/o Village Ratanheri, Police Station Sadar Khanna and Dinesh Kumar s/o Yogeshwar Pal R/o Village Fatehpur Police Station Kishanpur District Fatehpur, U.P.*



*presently resident of New Shivaji Nagar, Near Samrala Chowk, Near Shingar Cinema, District Ludhiana and Ramanpreet Singh alias Mani s/o Mohinder Singh r/o House No. 5337 Street No. 01, Shivaji Nagar, Ludhiana Police Station Division No. 3, Ludhiana District Ludhiana was written and I send PHG Surinder Singh No. 33494 to the Police Station to register the case and inform the case number. Inform to the DCR, Khanna and officers. I ASI alongwith associate employees for road block went to opposite Apna Vaishnu Dhaba, G.T. Road, in the limit of Village Libra. Sd/- Charanjit Singh.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had never sold the reels of china string and has been falsely involved in the present case. He further contends that similarly placed co-accused Ramanpreet Singh and Dinesh Kumar have been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively and the petitioner is at par with them. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 31.01.2025 and is in custody since then.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was arrested in the present case while he was transporting 400 reels of china string, however, the recovery has already been effected in the present case. Learned State counsel also admits that the petitioner was never involved in any other case and the investigation is almost complete.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 31.01.2025 and is in custody for almost three months. Even the prosecution is yet to lead



evidence with regard to the involvement of the petitioner in the present case. Moreover, the investigation is almost complete in the present case and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose. It is clarified that at the time of furnishing bail bonds before the Court, the petitioner shall also file an affidavit that he will not sell the spindle/bundle of china string.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**01.05.2025**  
*vipin*

**(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No