



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

121

CR-6347-2025

Date of decision :11.09.2025

**VED PARKASH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS**

**... PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**JAGDISH KUMAR**

**...RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

\*\*\*\*

**PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner - Judgment Debtor is aggrieved by the order dated 29.08.2025 (Annexure P-7) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sirsa, whereby the objections preferred by the Petitioner - Judgment Debtor were dismissed and a Local Commissioner was appointed for the execution of the sale-deed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the objections raised by the petitioner were not duly considered or dealt with by the Executing Court before passing the impugned order.
3. A perusal of the impugned order dated 29.08.2025 (Annexure P-7) goes to show that, prior to filing the present revision petition, the petitioner had earlier preferred another revision petition bearing No. 5596 of 2025, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 21.08.2025.



4. The grounds raised in Civil Revision Petition No. 5596 of 2025 goes to show that the grounds taken therein were to challenge the impugned order dated 19.07.2025, which was the subject matter of that revision. In that petition, petitioner had raised all the grounds, which he had also submitted before the Executing Court by way of objections and were dismissed by impugned order dated 29.08.2025.

5. Petitioner has already withdrawn his earlier revision petition on 21.08.2025 and the same grounds were subsequently raised before the Executing Court, upon which present impugned order dated 29.08.2025 was passed. This goes to show that objector has taken grounds which had not found favour with this court pressed vide his Civil Revision Petition No. 5596 of 2025 which was withdrawn on 21.08.2025. The sole ground taken in present petition is that Decree holder ought to have deposited sale consideration at the time of filing sale consideration. However, said objection was not taken by judgment debtor before executing court though Judgment debtor was appearing in court since 2023 and was raised only after dismissal of his revision petition by High Court wherein same ground was taken. No date or time for deposit of sale deed was fixed. JD in permanent Lok Adalat had compromised the matter but had not performed his part of agreement. Present objections after deposit of consideration amount by decree holder are without any merit.

6. Once the petitioner voluntarily withdrew the earlier revision petition before the High Court, wherein ground raised now had not found favour with High Court, it was no longer open to him to raise identical objections afresh before the Executing Court. The learned Executing Court, therefore, rightly rejected the subsequent objections filed by the petitioner following the withdrawal order dated 21.08.2025 and dismissed them by the impugned order dated 29.08.2025.

7. If the objections now raised by the petitioner are entertained in the

**CR-6347-2025**

-3-

present revision petition, it would, in effect, amount to a review of the order dated 21.08.2025 passed in Civil Revision Petition No. 5596 of 2025, which was withdrawn by the petitioner. Such a course is impermissible in law. Accordingly, the present revision petition is devoid of merit and is, therefore, dismissed.

11.09.2025  
manoj

**(PARMOD GOYAL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |