



CRM-M-4901-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-4901-2025

Date of decision: 25.03.2025

KEEMA RANI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
412	14.11.2024	City Faridkot, District Faridkot	333, 62, 351(1) of BNS, 2023

1. Seeking cancellation of bail granted to the accused/respondent No.2 in the FIR captioned vide order dated 09.12.2024 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridkot, the aggrieved persons have come up before this Court under Section 483(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS].
2. Petitioners' counsel seeks cancellation of bail on the grounds that despite considering serious nature of allegations it was not justifiable for the Court to grant them bail and such order is cryptic, perverse and illegal.
3. Counsel for respondent No.2/accused submits that the bail may not be cancelled and he handed over a copy of affidavit with an undertaking that he shall not enter in the property of the petitioner during the pendency of trial and the same is taken on record. Original affidavit thereof has been supplied to the State counsel as well as the petitioner. He further submits that respondent No.2 shall not stare/stalk the petitioner or her family members and counsel undertakes to instruct his client.
4. Vide order dated 09.12.2024, the trial court had granted bail to the accused/respondent No.2 on the grounds mentioned in the following paragraphs of the impugned



order:

"Perusal of file reveals that accused/applicant has moved bail application u/s 482 of BNS, 2023 in FIR No.412 dated 14.11.2024, under Sections 333, 62, 351(1) of BNS, 2023, registered at PS City Faridkot. Applicant/accused Dilwinder Singh @ Sonu was admitted on interim bail vide order dated 04.12.2024 passed by this court subject to joining the investigation. As per the statement of IO SI Sukhwinder Singh no.18/FDR, the accused/applicant has already joined investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation. As such, the interim bail order dated 04.12.2024 is made absolute subject to the compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) CrPC. Accordingly, the bail application stands disposed off. File be consigned to the record room."

5. While granting bail to respondent No.2, the concerned Additional Sessions Judge analysed every aspect and this Court is of the view that grounds for cancellation of bail are very bleak.

6. Given above, present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 that he shall not enter the house/shop etc. of the petitioner and shall not stalk or stare at him or his family during the pendency of trial. Petitioner is at liberty to file a similar petition for cancellation of bail before the trial Court, in case of any violation, if need arises. It is clarified that the trial Court shall be equally competent to cancel the bail order.

7. Registry to communicate this order to respondent No.2 through the trial Court. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

25.03.2025
renubala

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No